

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

## Order

The 27th July, 1982

No. ID/HSR/57/82/34656.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sushil Kumar Gupta and the management of M/s The Sirsa Central Co-op. Bank Ltd. Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sushil Kumar Gupta, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 29th July, 1982

No. ID/HSR/48/82/35393.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prithvi Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Jind regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with Govt. notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prithvi Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/101/82/35400.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Roshan Lal and the management of M/s Patiala Metal, Kalyan Nagar, Jagadhri regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Roshan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/93/82/35406.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Mangal Singh and the management of M/s Anand Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., 22 K. M., Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February,

1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Mangal Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/164/81/35413.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Baljit Singh and the management of M/s Hindustan Everest Tools Limited, Jajheri (Sonapat) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 5864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 96 1-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Baljit Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 30th July, 1982

No. ID/AMB/49/82/35577.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of M/s. Haryana Roadways Kaithal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254 dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/66/82/35583.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Siri Baagwan and the management of M/s. Saraswati Rubber Works, Near Police Line, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Siri Baagwan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/55/82/35590.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hari Ram and the management of M/s. Venux Plot No. 24 Dharuhera, District Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute adjudication;

the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with

notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/67/82/35597.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hare Ram Tiwari and the management of M/s. Plaste Metal Industries, Delhi Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

whether the termination of service of Shri Hari Ram was justified and in order? If not, what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/91/82/35604.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dharam Pal and the management of M/s. New Allenberry Works 14/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/ED/87/82/35611.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Katwaru and the management of M/s Crystic, Resin (India) Pvt. Ltd. 19/6 Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Katwaru was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/142/82/35618.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of M/s Rajasthan Printing Press, 1-c-33, N. I. T. Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?